1 2 3 4 5 6	SCOTT J. SAGARIA (BAR # 217981) ELLIOT W. GALE (BAR #263326) JOE B. ANGELO (BAR #268542) SCOTT M. JOHNSON (BAR #287182) SAGARIA LAW, P.C. 2033 Gateway Place, 5 th Floor San Jose, CA 95110 408-279-2288 ph 408-279-2299 fax	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN JOSE DIVISION	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF	
11	JOSEPH RODRIGUEZ,	CASE NO.
12	ŕ	
13	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES:
14	V.	 Violation of Fair Credit Reporting Act; Violation of California Consumer Credit
15 16	Equifax, Inc.; Westlake Financial Services, Inc. and DOES 1 through 100 inclusive,	Reporting Agencies Act
17	Defendants.	
18	COMES NOW Plaintiff JOSEPH RODRIGUEZ, an individual, based on information an	
19	belief, to allege as follows:	
20	INTRODUCTION	
21	1. This case arises under the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b) and the	
22	California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act, California Civil Code §1785.25(a).	
23	Plaintiff seeks redress for the unlawful and deceptive practices committed by the Defendants in	
24	connection with their inaccurate reporting of Plaintiff's debt included in Plaintiff's Chapter 13	
25	bankruptcy.	
26	JURISDICTION & VENUE	
27	2. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein by this reference the allegations in each and	
28	every paragraph above, fully set forth herein.	

3. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337, and 1367, and 15 U.S.C. § 1681

4. This venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b).

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 5. Plaintiff filed for Chapter 13 bankruptcy protection on September 19, 2014 in order to reorganize and repair Plaintiff's credit. Plaintiff's Chapter 13 plan of financial reorganization was confirmed on November 26, 2014, binding creditors to the terms of the plan under 11 U.S.C. §1327.
- 6. Under the terms of Plaintiff's confirmed Chapter 13 plan, general unsecured non-priority creditors were to be paid 0% of their claims.
- 7. On March 20, 2016 Plaintiff ordered a three bureau report from Experian Information Solutions, Inc. to ensure proper reporting by Plaintiff's creditors.
- 8. Plaintiff noticed several tradelines all reporting misleading and inaccurate account information.
- 9. Defendant Westlake Financial Services, Inc. was reporting Plaintiff's account, beginning in 442xxxx, with a balance in the amount of \$209.00, with a past due balance in the amount of \$209.00, and with monthly payments owed in the amount of \$278.00, despite the Court Ordered treatment of its claim under the terms of Plaintiff's Chapter 13 plan of reorganization.
- 10. In response to the perceived inaccuracies on Plaintiff's credit report, Plaintiff specifically identified in the Plaintiff's dispute letter that Plaintiff was taking issue with the past due balance, outstanding balance, late payments, charged off remarks, and/or collection remarks that were being reported and indicated that all creditors receiving a dispute needed to investigate how to properly report the debt after a Chapter 13 bankruptcy filing.
- 11. Plaintiff sent the disputes via certified mail to Experian Information Solutions, Inc.; Equifax, Inc. and TransUnion, LLC.
 - 12. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each credit reporting agency sent each

13

26

28

Defendant notification that plaintiff was disputing the accuracy of what it was reporting to them.

- 13. Defendant Westlake Financial Services, Inc. failed to conduct a reasonable investigation and continued to report falsely to Experian Information Solutions, Inc. misleading and inaccurate account information as identified above in Paragraph 10.
- 14. Alternatively, Equifax, Inc. failed to perform its own reasonable investigation and failed to correct the inaccuracies and failed to note that Plaintiff disputed the information. After the statutory time period passed for Equifax, Inc. to update the report Plaintiff pulled a second credit report and noticed that no updates had been made to the tradeline in dispute.
- 15. On July 26, 2016 Plaintiff ordered a second three bureau report from Experian Information Solutions, Inc. to ensure the accounts that were in dispute were being property reported.
- 16. In response to Plaintiff's dispute Defendant failed to conduct a reasonable investigation or any investigation at all, and did so intentionally and with reckless disregard by not consulting the CDIA, its own internal processes for reporting a bankruptcy filing, and did not review the Chapter 13 filing and subsequent confirmation of Plaintiff's repayment plan and treatment of its claim, as Plaintiff's dispute went unchanged and Defendants continued to report Plaintiff's account with a past due balance, outstanding balance, late payments, charged off remark, and/or collection remark.
- 17. The actions of the Defendants as alleged herein are acts in violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b).
- 18. The actions of the Defendants as alleged herein are acts in violation of the consumer credit reporting agencies act California Civil Code § 1785.25(a).

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Fair Credit Reporting Act 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)) (Against Defendants and Does 1-100)

Equifax, Inc. - Failure to Reinvestigate Disputed Information.

19. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the allegation in each and every paragraph

above as though fully set forth herein.

20. After Plaintiff disputed the accounts mentioned above, Defendant Equifax, Inc. was required to conduct a reasonable investigation and to delete any information that was not accurate. In doing so, Defendant was required to send all relevant information to the furnishers which they did not do. Defendant failed to correct the misleading and or inaccurate statements on the account within the statutory time frame or at all.

Westlake Financial Services, Inc.— Reporting Inaccurate Information to Defendant Equifax, Inc. and Failure to Reinvestigate.

- 21. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the allegation in each and every paragraph above as though fully set forth herein.
- 22. 15 USC 1681s-2(b) prohibits furnishers from providing any information relating to a consumer to any consumer reporting agency if the person knows or has reasonable cause to believe that the information is inaccurate or misleading and requires a furnisher to update and or correct inaccurate information after being notified by a consumer reporting agency of a dispute by a consumer.
- 23. Defendant Westlake Financial Services, Inc. violated section 1681s-2(b) by failing to conduct a reasonable investigation and re-reporting misleading and inaccurate account information regarding Plaintiff's accounts. Defendant Equifax, Inc. provided notice to the Defendant that Plaintiff was disputing the inaccurate and misleading information but Defendant failed to conduct a reasonable investigation of the information as required by the FCRA.
- 24. Specifically, Defendant Westlake Financial Services, Inc. (Account # 442xxxx) supplied inaccurate and misleading information to the Credit Reporting Agencies by reporting, after Plaintiff's Chapter 13 filing and confirmation, that the account was with a balance in the amount of \$209.00, with a past due balance in the amount of \$209.00, and with monthly payments owed in the amount of \$278.00, despite the Court Ordered treatment of its claim under the terms of Plaintiff's Chapter 13 plan of reorganization.
- 25. Defendants re-reported to the bureaus the misleading and inaccurate information as seen in Plaintiff's reinvestigation reports and/or credit report that was pulled after the disputes were sent.

8

6

20

28

26. The acts of the Defendants as described above were done willfully and intentionally with reckless disregard for its duties under the FCRA.

27. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful and intentional inaccurate reporting, Plaintiff has suffered actual damages including but not limited to an inability to properly reorganize under Chapter 13, reviewing credit reports from all three consumer reporting agencies, time reviewing reports with counsel, sending demand letters, diminished credit score, cost of pulling credit reports, and such further expenses in an amount to be determined and proven at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act California Civil Code § 1785.25(a)) (Against Defendants and Does 1-100)

Westlake Financial Services, Inc. – Reporting Inaccurate Information to Defendants Equifax, Inc.

- 28. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the allegation in each and every paragraph above as though fully set forth herein.
- 29. Defendant Westlake Financial Services, Inc. intentionally and knowingly reported misleading and inaccurate account information to Equifax, Inc.
- 30. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants re-reported misleading and inaccurate account information, as stated in paragraphs 10 and 25 to Equifax, Inc. in violation of California Civil Code § 1785.25(a).
- 31. Plaintiff also alleges that Defendants had reason to know that the information reported on Plaintiff's accounts were misleading and inaccurate.
- 32. Plaintiff alleges that the bankruptcy notices, disputes letters from all three credit reporting agencies, the consumer data industry resource guide, the language in the Chapter 13 plan and subsequent confirmation order issued by the U.S. Bankruptcy Court, and results of its investigation should have provided notice to Defendant of its misleading and inaccurate reporting.
- 33. Defendant failed to notify Equifax, Inc. that the information Defendant re-reported was inaccurate before the end of 30 business days, in violation of California Civil Code § 1785.25(a).
 - 34. Defendants' communications of false information, and repeated failures to investigate,

27

28

and correct their inaccurate information and erroneous reporting were done knowingly, intentionally, and in reckless disregard for their duties and Plaintiff's rights.

- 35. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful and untrue communications, Plaintiff has suffered actual damages including but not limited to inability to properly reorganize under Chapter 13, reviewing credit reports from all three consumer reporting agencies, time reviewing reports with counsel, sending demand letters, diminished credit score, and such further expenses in an amount to be determined at trial.
 - 36. Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment as hereinafter set forth.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

- a. For preliminary and permanent injunctive relief to stop Defendants from engaging in the conduct described above;
- b. Award statutory and actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n and California Civil Code § 1785.31;
- c. Award punitive damages in order to deter further unlawful conduct pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n; and California Civil Code § 1785.31
- d. Award attorney's fees and costs of suit incurred herein pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n & o; California Civil Code § 1785.31;
- e. For determination by the Court that Creditor's policies and practices are unlawful and in willful violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681n, et seq.; and
- f. For determination by the Court that Creditor's policies and practices are unlawful and in negligent violation of 15 U.S.C. § 16810;

Dated: August 12, 2016

SAGARIA LAW, P.C.

/s/ Elliot Gale, Esq.

Scott Sagaria, Esq. Elliot Gale, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

By:

Plaintiff hereby demands trial of this matter by jury.

6

Case 5:16-cv-04669-HRL Document 1 Filed 08/12/16 Page 7 of 7